

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| 09/651,385 | 08/29/2000 | Sanjay Dabral | 042390.P5258D | 042390.P5258D 9681 | |
| 7 | 7590 09/12/2005 | | | EXAMINER | |
| Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP 12400 Wilshire Bouleyard Seventh Floor | | | DIAZ, JOSE R | | |
| Los Angeles, CA 90025 | | , | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2815 | | |

DATE MAILED: 09/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| ® | | | SP | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| Advisory Action | 09/651,385 | DABRAL ET AL. | | | |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | José R. Díaz | 2815 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 26 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | R ALLOWANCE. | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: | owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in | ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (| ence, which CFR 41.31; or | | |
| a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the | The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) | on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS | extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)) |), to avoid dismissal (| of the appeal. | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be | ow); | · | the issues for | | |
| appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) | | jected claims. | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). | | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). | | , timely filed amendn | nent canceling | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 20-23,30-33,35 and 36. Claim(s) objected to: | | rill be entered and an | explanation of | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>27-29</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| nils to provide a (1). | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. | ut does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | ance because: | | |

TOM THOWAS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

13. Other: _

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): claims 20-23, 30-33 and 35-36 rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant has not cancelled the rejected claims 27-29. Please note that claims 20-23, 30-33 and 35-36 are now allowed and claims 27-29 remain rejected for the same reasons stated in the previous Advisory Action mailed August 17, 2005.